

Maritime and Coastal Heritage: INDEX

EXPLANATORY NOTES

The space limit here is tight, but realistic for a small publication. As it's necessary to be selective over what to include, variations are possible, so don't despair if your index doesn't completely match this one. If you have taken part in a group online tutorial or peer review session you will know that there are many possible ways of indexing the same text, although not all of them are equally valid.

What matters most here is that the major topics, i.e. those which are the subject of complete articles, are adequately covered. Many of the chapter and section headings here make useful index headings, though not all do – for example, 'new responsibilities' on p. 4. Some subject terms are too broad in the context of this text to be usefully included; for example, conservation (or preservation) which apply to most of the articles.

The index also includes:

- place names (though without giving much detail within entries, for reasons of space)
- names of projects etc. and titles of publications which have major coverage in the text
- acts of parliament (selectively)
- names of government and corporate bodies about which something important is said

Authors of articles are omitted (as explained in the introductory note); places etc. given merely as examples (particularly in the first article) are omitted, as are many minor or passing mentions throughout. Only a few ship names are included; more might be added if there was space.

Subheadings have been kept to a minimum in order to fit in as many main entries as possible within the space limit. They have been made, however, where the topics covered are very specific, e.g. under 'North Sea'.

Because of the space limit cross-references between acronyms and full forms are included only where widely separated in the index (though 'HERS' is retained as it is a double abbreviation). A more generous space limit would have allowed the inclusion of all cross-references between acronyms and full forms unless actually adjacent.

Place names are followed here by local authority names in brackets; where the local authority name is the same as the place (e.g. Plymouth – a unitary authority) this is omitted. Publishers often have a house style for abbreviating local authority names; the way it is done here is not the only possible way.

Indexes don't usually have footnotes but a few have been included here relating to specific points.

INDEX

Notes

Authors of articles are not indexed. A page reference followed by *illus* indicates an illustration on that page; ¹ there may also be a text reference. RCZAS is short for Rapid Coastal Zone Assessment Survey. There is no entry for ‘English Heritage’; references to English Heritage projects, etc., should be sought under specific titles.

aggregates extraction 6, 13–15, 19
Aggregates Levy Sustainability Fund 13–15
Aldeburgh (Suff) 12*illus*
Ancient Human Occupation of Britain project 20
Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act (1979) ² 4, 5*illus*
archaeology: marine 2–3, 19, 20–22; maritime 4–7, 8–12; *see also* wrecks ³

beach replenishment schemes 15*illus*
Birch, Eugenius 23
Blackpool 3*illus*, 23
Blackwater Estuary (Essex) 10–11*illus*
Blakeney Freshes (Norf) 11*illus*
Bouldnor (IoW) 6, 7*illus*
Brighton (Brighton and Hove) 23–25*illus*
Broadstairs (Kent) 3*illus*
buildings at risk 23–25

Chatham (Medway) 16–18*illus* ⁴
coastal change, challenges of 8–12
Coastal Defence and the Historic Environment: English Heritage Guidance (2003) 8
Colossus, HMS 6 ^{5 6}
Cromer (Norf) 30*illus*

Deal (Kent) 29*illus*

¹ This method saves repetition, but it would not be wrong to give separate locators for text and illustration on the same page.

² The title is given differently on p. 5 but it is clearly the same Act.

³ Note that ‘marine archaeology’ and ‘maritime archaeology’ are also double-entered as main headings; you should always make a double entry rather than a *see* cross-reference if it takes up no more space.

⁴ In this chapter four different dockyards are discussed together; it would be OK to give individual locators for each rather than the span 16–18.

⁵ You could also include 5*illus* as this shows the site.

⁶ Note the conventional form for indexing names of ships.

Defra (Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs) 13–15
Department for Culture, Media and Sport (DCMS) 4, 7, 11, 26
Designated Wreck Sites 4–6*illus*
Devonport *see* Plymouth⁷
docks and dockyards 16–18*illus*, 26–27*illus*
dredging *see* aggregates extraction⁸
drowned landscapes *see* submerged archaeological landscapes

East Kent Maritime Trust 29
England's Historic Seascapes project 6
Essex: RCZAS 10–11; *see also individual places by name*⁹
Estuary Management Plans and Strategies 8, 11
European Union (EU) 11

fish traps¹⁰ 9*illus*, 10
forests, submerged 10*illus*

glaciations 20–22
Goodwin Sands (Kent) 6, 28–29
Gosport (Hants) 17*illus*
gravel extraction *see* marine aggregates industry¹¹
Great Yarmouth (Norf) 30–31*illus*
Greenwich (London) 14*illus*

Hastings (E Suss) 23
Heritage Economic Regeneration Schemes (HERS) 31
Heritage Lottery Fund 31; *see also* National Lottery
HERS/HERs *see* Heritage Economic Regeneration Schemes; Historic Environment Records
Historic Buildings Council 24
Historic Environment Records (HERs) 8

⁷ Devonport is the area of Plymouth where the naval dockyard is located; however, the article sometimes refers to the dockyard as just 'Plymouth' so a cross-reference is clearer for the user here than a double entry.

⁸ A cross-reference has been made here rather than a double entry as not all the references at the target entry are specifically to dredging. Alternatively a main entry could be made here with specific references to dredging.

⁹ Note the use of italics here to indicate that you are not referring to a specific heading.

¹⁰ Fish-traps (with a hyphen) on p. 10; in a real job one would query this discrepancy with the editor.

¹¹ A cross-reference has been made here rather than a double entry as not all the references at the target entry are specifically to gravel extraction. Alternatively a main entry could be made here with specific references to gravel extraction.

Holbrook Bay (Suff) *9illus*

ice ages 20–22

Importance of Shipwrecks project 13

Innovative Approaches to Rapid Archaeological
Site Surveying and Evaluation in the Marine
Environment and Transitional Zones project 14

Isle of Thanet ¹² 28, 29

Isles of Scilly 6, 11, 12*illus*

Kent: North Kent, RCZAS 11; *see also individual
places by name*

Lincshire Beach Replenishment scheme (Lincs)
15*illus*

Liverpool (Mers) 26–27*illus*

Liverpool Bay (Mers) 6

London, Greenwich 14*illus*

Mapping Navigational Hazards as Areas of
Maritime Archaeological Potential project 14

Margate (Kent) 23

*Marine Aggregate Dredging and the Historic
Environment: Guidance Note* 19, 21*illus*

marine aggregates industry 6, 13–15, 19

marine archaeology 2–3, 19, 20–22

maritime archaeology 4–7, 8–12

Martello towers 12*illus*

Maunsell Forts 2*illus*

migration, prehistoric 20–22

Modelling Exclusion Zones for Marine Aggregate
Dredging project 15

National Heritage Act (2002) 4, 5

National Heritage Memorial Fund (NHMF) 24

National Lottery 24; *see also* Heritage Lottery
Fund

National Mapping Programme (NMP) 8–12

National Trust 31

naval heritage 16–18*illus*

NHMF *see* National Heritage Memorial Fund

NMP *see* National Mapping Programme

Norfolk: RCZAS 11; wind farm off coast 6*illus*;
see also individual places by name

North Sea: Shivering Sands 2*illus*; submerged
archaeological landscapes 20–22*illus*

North West Development Agency 27

Orford Ness (Suff) *9illus*

partnerships 19, 28–29

piers 3*illus*, 23–25*illus*, 30*illus*

¹² This and the next entry are double-entered in inverted
form as people may look in either place.

Planarch2 Interreg project (EU) 11
 Plymouth 16–18
 Portsmouth (Hants) 16–18*illus*
 prehistoric archaeological landscapes 20–22
Protecting our Marine Historic Environment:
Making the System Work Better (2004) 7
 Protection of Wrecks Act (1973) 4, 13, 28
 Purfleet (Essex) 10*illus*

 Ramsgate (Kent) 28–29
 Rapid Coastal Zone Assessment Surveys (RCZAS)
 8–12
 ‘red hills’ (salt-producing sites) 10*illus*
 Royal dockyards 16–18 *illus*

 salt-producing sites 10*illus*
 Samson Flats (I of Scilly) 12*illus*
 sand extraction *see* marine aggregates industry
 Scilly Isles 6, 11, 12*illus*
 sea level rise 8–12
 seaside resorts 30–31*illus*
 Sheerness (Kent) 16–18*illus*¹³
 shipwrecks 4–6, 13–15, 19, 28–29^{14 15}
 Shivering Sands (North Sea) 2*illus*
 Shoreline Management Plans¹⁶ 8, 11–12
 Slaughden, Aldeburgh (Suff) 12*illus*
 South West Regional Development Agency 17
Stirling Castle, HMS 6, 28–29¹⁷
 submerged archaeological landscapes 10*illus*, 19,
 20–22; *see also* wrecks
 Suffolk: RCZAS 9–10; *see also individual places*
by name
 Sutton Hoo (Suff) 8*illus*, 9–10

Taking to the Water: English Heritage’s Interim
Policy on Maritime Archaeology (2002) 4
 Territorial Limit 4–7
 Thanet, Isle of 28, 29
Tuesday of Rochester (sailing barge) 9*illus*

 underwater sites 20–22
 Urban Splash 17

 Wessex Archaeology 4, 6
 wind farms 6*illus*
 World Heritage Sites 17, 26–27*illus*
 wrecks 4–6, 13–15, 19, 28–29¹⁸

¹³ Note that the lower caption on 17 relates to the illustration on 16; this would have been clearer if the words ‘facing page’ had been added to the caption.

¹⁴ 19 is a minor reference and could be omitted.

¹⁵ Double entry with ‘wrecks’, as it takes no extra space.

¹⁶ Implicit on p. 11.

¹⁷ *5illus* could also be included as this shows the site.

¹⁸ 19 is a minor reference and could be omitted.